

# Monotone set-valued functions defined by set-valued Choquet integrals

Sun Hongxia

*Department of Mathematics, Dezhou University,  
Dezhou, Shandong 253023, China*

Li Jun\*

*School of Science, Communication University of China,  
Beijing, 100024, China*

Masami Yasuda

*Department of Mathematics & Informatics, Faculty of Science,  
Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan*

## Abstract

In this paper, some properties of the monotone set-valued function defined by the set-valued Choquet integral are discussed. It is shown that several important structural characteristics of the original set function, such as null-additivity, strong order continuity, property(S) and pseudometric generating property, etc., are preserved by the new set-valued function. It is also shown that integrable assumption is inevitable for the preservation of strong order continuous and pseudometric generating property. Several kind of absolute continuity of set-valued function with respect to set function are also discussed.

*Keywords:* Monotone set-valued function; set-valued Choquet integrals; Choquet integral

## 1 Introduction

Given a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , a nonnegative monotone set function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and a nonnegative measurable function  $f$ , then the set function  $v_f$  defined by the Chouquet integral

$$v_f(A) = (C) \int_A f d\mu \quad (\forall A \in \mathcal{A})$$

---

\*E-mail address: lijun@cuc.edu.cn

is also nonnegative and monotone on  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $v_f(\emptyset) = 0$ [1]. Wang and Klir ([1]) have discussed that  $v_f(A)$  preserved some important structure characteristics of the original function  $\mu$ , such as null-additivity, subadditivity, autocontinuity, e.t.c. Ouyang and Li ([2]) further discussed that  $v_f$  preserved other important structure characteristics of  $\mu$ , such as strong order continuity, order continuity, property(S), pseudometric generating property.

Similarly to the single-valued fuzzy measure, we can define the structural characteristics for a set-valued fuzzy measure, whether these characteristics can be preserved by the set-valued set functions defined by set-valued Choquet integral?

In this paper, we give the definition of these characteristics of set-valued set function, and we prove that a new monotone set-valued function defined by set-valued Choquet integral also preserved the characteristics of original set-valued set function. We also discuss several kind of absolute continuity of set-valued function with respect to set function.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space,  $f$  is a nonnegative measurable function on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ , and  $\mu$  is a monotone set function with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .  $R^+$  denotes the interval  $[0, \infty]$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_0(R^+)(\mathcal{P}_f(R^+)$ , resp.) denotes the class of all nonempty subsets(closed, resp.) of  $R^+$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([3]) *The Choquet integral of  $f$  on  $A$  with respect to  $\mu$ , denoted by  $(C) \int_A f d\mu$ , is defined as*

$$(C) \int_A f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(F_\alpha \cap A) d\alpha$$

where  $F_\alpha = \{f \geq \alpha\} = \{x | f(x) \geq \alpha\}$  for any  $\alpha \geq 0$  and the right-hand side is the Lebesgue integral.

**Definition 2.2** ([5]) *A set-valued function  $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(R^+)$  is said to be measurable if its graph is measurable, that is ,*

$$G_r(F) = \{(x, r) \in X \times R^+ : r \in F(x)\} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{Borel}(R^+)$$

where  $\text{Borel}(R^+)$  is the Borel field of  $R^+$ .  $F$  is said to be closed-valued if it values in  $\mathcal{P}_f(R^+)$

**Definition 2.3** ([4]) A set-valued function  $F$  is said to be Choquet integrably bounded if there is a Choquet integrable function  $g$  such that

$$\|F(x)\| = \sup_{r \in F(x)} |r| \leq g(x)$$

**Definition 2.4** ([5]) Let  $F$  be a set-valued function and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . The Choquet integral of  $F$  on  $A$  with respect to  $\mu$ , denote by  $(C) \int_A F d\mu$ , is defined as

$$(C) \int_A F d\mu = \{(C) \int_A f d\mu : f \in S(F)\}$$

where  $S(F)$  is the family of  $\mu$ -a.e. measurable selection of  $F$ .

Instead of  $(C) \int_X F d\mu$ , we will write  $(C) \int F d\mu$ . Obviously,  $(C) \int F d\mu$  may be empty. A set-valued function  $F$  is said to be integrable existing if  $(C) \int F d\mu \neq \emptyset$ , and  $F$  is said to be integrable if  $(C) \int F d\mu$  exists and does not include  $\infty$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([6]) Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_0(R^+)$ , then  $A \leq B$  means that

- (1) For each  $x_0 \in A$ , there exists  $y_0 \in B$ , such that  $x_0 \leq y_0$ ;
- (2) For each  $y_0 \in A$ , there exists  $x_0 \in B$ , such that  $x_0 \leq y_0$ .

**Definition 2.6** A set-valued function  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(R^+)$  is said to be a monotone set-valued function if it satisfies

- (1)  $\pi(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (2)  $A \subset B$  implies  $\pi(A) \leq \pi(B)$

**Definition 2.7** The set-valued function  $(C)\pi_F$  is defined as

$$(C)\pi_F(A) = (C) \int_A F d\mu (A \in \mathcal{A})$$

where  $F$  is a set-valued function with  $S(F) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mu$  is a monotone set function.

**Remark 2.1** By proposition 3.5[5], we know that  $(C)\pi_F$  is a monotone set-valued function.

**Definition 2.8** ([6]) Let  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}_0(R^+)$ , we write

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \{x \in R^+ : x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k} (k \geq 1)\}$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \{x \in R^+ : x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_n, x_n \in A_n (n \geq 1)\}$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf A_n = A$ , then  $\{A_n\}$  is said to be convergent to  $A$  and it is simply noted with  $A_n \rightarrow A$ .

Similarly to the single-valued function, we will defined several important structural characteristics for a set-valued function.

**Definition 2.9** *Let  $\pi$  is a set-valued function.*

(1)  $\pi$  is called null-additive if for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi(A) = \{0\}$  implies  $\pi(A \cup B) = \pi(B)$ .

(2)  $\pi$  is called weakly null-additive if for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi(A) = \pi(B) = \{0\}$  implies  $\pi(A \cup B) = \{0\}$ .

(3)  $\pi$  is said to be strongly order continuous if for any  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_n \downarrow A$  and  $\pi(A) = \{0\}$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(A_n) = \{0\}$

(4)  $\pi$  is said to be order continuous if for any  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(A_n) = \{0\}$

(5)  $\pi$  is said to have the property (S) if for any  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  that satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(A_n) = \{0\}$  there exists a subsequence  $\{A_{n_k}\} \subset \{A_n\}$  such that  $\pi(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup A_{n_k}) = \{0\}$ .

(6)  $\pi$  is said to have the pseudometric generating property, abbreviated as p.g.p. if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\pi(A \cup B) \subset [0, \epsilon]$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi(A) \subset [0, \delta]$  and  $\pi(B) \subset [0, \delta]$ .

It is easy to prove that the above definition is the generalization of single-valued case.

### 3 Preservation of structural characteristics

In this section, we suppose that  $F$  is a measurable closed-valued function.

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $\mu$  is null-additive, then so is  $(C)\pi_F$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $(C)\pi_F(B) = \{0\}$ , i.e.  $(C) \int_B F d\mu = \{0\}$ , then for any  $f \in S(F)$ , we have  $(C) \int_B f d\mu = 0$ . Hence by theorem 7 [1], we have

$$(C) \int_{A \cup B} f d\mu = (C) \int_A f d\mu.$$

Let  $a_0 \in (C)\pi_F(A \cup B)$ , then exists  $f \in S(F)$ , such that

$$a_0 = (C) \int_{A \cup B} f d\mu = (C) \int_A f d\mu \in (C)\pi_F(A).$$

Similarly, for  $b_0 \in (C)\pi_F(A)$ , we can prove that  $b_0 \in (C)\pi_F(A \cup B)$ . The proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.2** *If  $\mu$  is weakly null-additive, then so is  $(C)\pi_F$ .*

**Proof.** It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $F$  be integrable. If  $\mu$  is strongly order continuous, then so is  $(C)\pi_F$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $A_n \downarrow A$  and  $(c)\pi_F(A) = \{0\}$ , then for any  $f \in S(F)$ ,  $v_f(A) = (C) \int_A f d\mu = 0$ , hence by Theorem 3.(2) ([2]), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_f(A_n) = 0, \text{ for any } f \in S(F).$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C)\pi(F)(A_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C)\pi(F)(A_n) = \{0\}.$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C)\pi_F(A_n) = \{0\}.$$

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $F$  be integrable. If  $\mu$  is order continuous, then so is  $(C)\pi_F$ .*

**Proof.** Similar to Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $F$  be integrable. If  $\mu$  has p.g.p, then so is  $(C)\pi_F$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $F$  is integrable, hence  $(C) \int f d\mu < \infty$ . Since  $\mu$  has p.g.p., there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\mu(E \cup F) < \epsilon$  whenever  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(E) \vee \mu(F) < \delta$ .

We will prove that  $(C)\pi_F(A \cup B) \subset [0, \epsilon]$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $(c)\pi_F(A) \subset [0, \delta]$  and  $(c)\pi_F(B) \subset [0, \delta]$ . In fact, for any  $f \in S(F)$ , we have  $(C) \int_A f d\mu \vee (C) \int_B f d\mu < \delta$ , by Theorem 5 ([2]), we have  $(C) \int_{A \cup B} f d\mu < \epsilon$ . Hence  $(C)\pi_F(A \cup B) \subset [0, \epsilon]$ .

**Remark 3.1** Observe that Theorem 3.3 to Theorem 3.5 are based on the assumption that  $F$  is integrable. The following examples show that the conclusions in Theorem 3.3 to Theorem 3.5 may not be true when the assumption is abandoned.

**Example 3.1** Let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ .  $\mu$  is defined as

$$\mu(E) = \begin{cases} 0, & E = \emptyset, \\ \max\{1/i | i \in E\}, & E \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu$  is strongly order continuous (so  $\mu$  is order continuous) monotone set function. Let  $F(X) = \{x\}(x \in X)$ , then we have  $(C)\pi_F(X) = \{\infty\}$ , hence  $F$  is not Choquet integrable. we will show that  $(C)\pi_F$  is not order continuous (so  $(C)\pi_F$  is not strongly order continuous).

In fact, let  $E_n = \{n, n+1, \dots\}$ , then  $E_n \downarrow \emptyset$ . For any  $f \in S(F)$ ,  $f(x) = x$ .

$$(C) \int_{E_n} f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(E_n \cap F_\alpha) d\alpha \geq \int_0^n \mu(E_n \cap F_\alpha) d\alpha = 1.$$

Hence  $(C)\pi_F$  is not order continuous.

**Example 3.2** Let  $X_1 = \{1, 3, \dots\}$ ,  $X_2 = \{2, 4, \dots\}$ ,  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ .  $\mu$  is defined as

$$\mu(E) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ \max\{1/i^2 | i \in E\}, & \text{if } E \in X_1 \text{ or } X_2 \\ \max\{1/i | i \in E\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu$  have p.g.p.. Let  $F(X) = \{x\}(x \in X)$ , then we have  $(C)\pi_F(X) = \{\infty\}$ , hence  $F$  is not Choquet integrable. we will show that  $(C)\pi_F$  do not have p.g.p..

Let  $A_n = \{2n\}$ ,  $B_n = \{2n+1\}$ , then

$$(C) \int_{A_n} f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(A_n \cap F_\alpha) d\alpha = \int_0^{2n} 1/(2n)^2 d\alpha = 1/2n \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Similarly,

$$(C) \int_{B_n} f d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (C) \int_{A_n \cup B_n} f d\mu &= \int_0^\infty \mu((A_n \cup B_n) \cap F_\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^{2n} \mu((A_n \cup B_n) \cap F_\alpha) d\alpha + \int_{2n+1}^{2n+2} \mu((A_n \cup B_n) \cap F_\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^{2n} 1/2n d\alpha + \int_{2n+1}^{2n+2} 1/(2n+1)^2 d\alpha \\ &= 1 + 1/(2n+1)^2 \rightarrow 1 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(C)\pi_F$  do not have p.g.p..

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $F$  is Choquet bounded and  $\mu$  is continuous . If  $\mu$  have property (S), then so is  $(C)\pi_F$ .*

**Proof.** For any For any  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C)\pi_F(A_n) = \{0\}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(0, (C)\pi_F(A_n)) = 0$$

i.e.

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{f \in S(F)} |(C) \int_{A_n} f d\mu| = 0$$

Then from the definition of limit inferior, we have that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_{A_n} f_{n(m)} d\mu = \inf_{f \in S(F)} |(C) \int_{A_n} f d\mu| \quad f_{n(m)} \in S(F)$$

Since  $F$  is integrably bounded , so there exists a Choquet integrable function  $g$  , such that  $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$  for any  $f \in S(F)$ . Hence we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_{A_n} f_{n(m)} d\mu = (C) \int_{A_n} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{n(m)} d\mu.$$

Denote  $f_0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{n(m)} d\mu$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C) \int_{A_n} f_0 d\mu = 0$ . By Theorem 2 in [2], there exists  $\{A_{n_k}\} \subset A_n$ , such that  $v_{f_0}(\limsup_k A_{n_k}) = 0$ . i.e.

$$(C) \int_{\limsup_k A_{n_k}} f_0 d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(\limsup_k A_{n_k} \cap \{f_0 \geq \alpha\}) d\alpha = 0.$$

Hence for any  $a_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\mu(\limsup_k A_{n_k} \cap \{f_0 \geq \alpha\}) = 0 \quad a.e.$$

Denote  $B_{n_k} = A_{n_k} \cap \{f_0 \geq \alpha_0\}$ , then

$$\mu(\limsup_k B_{n_k}) = 0 \quad a.e.$$

Therefore, for any  $f \in S(F)$

$$(C) \int_{\limsup_k B_{n_k}} f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(\limsup_k B_{n_k} \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) d\alpha = 0.$$

Hence

$$(C)\pi_F(\limsup_k B_{n_k}) = \{0\}.$$

**Definition 3.1** ([3]) A set  $N \in \mathcal{A}$  is called a null set (with respect to  $\mu$ ) if  $\mu(A \cup N) = \mu(A)$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([3]) A fuzzy measure  $\mu$  is said to be  $m$ -continuous if there exists a complete and finite measure  $m$ , such that  $\mu \ll m$ , i.e.  $m(A)=0$  implies  $A$  is a null set (with respect to  $\mu$ ).

**Lemma 1**  $(C) \int_A F d\mu = (C) \int X_A \circ F d\mu$  where  $X_A$  is the characteristic function of  $A$ , and

$$X_A \circ F(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** It is easy to be proved.

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $\mu$  is  $m$ -continuous and  $F$  is Choquet integrable bounded. If  $\mu$  is continuous, then so is  $(C)\pi_F$ .

**Proof.** It is similar to the proof of Proposition 3 in [7].

## 4 Absolutely continuity

Absolutely plays an important role in measure theorem, we expect that most of the classical results, such as Radon-Nikodym theorem, etc., remain valid for monotone set-valued function. In this section, we will introduce several absolutely continuous.

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\mu$  is a set function and  $\pi$  is a set-valued function, we say that

(1)  $\pi$  is absolutely continuous of type I with respect to  $\mu$ , denote by  $\pi \ll_I \mu$ , if and only if  $\pi(A) = \{0\}$  whenever  $\mu(A) = 0$ .

(2)  $\pi$  is absolutely continuous of type II with respect to  $\mu$ , denote by  $\pi \ll_{II_\alpha} \mu$ , if and only if  $\pi(A) = \pi(B)$  whenever  $B \subset A$  and  $\mu(A \setminus B) = 0$ .

(3)  $\pi$  is absolutely continuous of type III with respect to  $\mu$ , denote by  $\pi \ll_{III_\alpha} \mu$ , if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(A_n) = \{0\}$  whenever  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$

(4)  $\pi$  is absolutely continuous of type IV with respect to  $\mu$ , denote by  $\pi \ll_{IV} \mu$ , if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\pi(A) \subset [0, \epsilon]$  whenever  $\mu(A) < \delta$ .



**Theorem 4.1**  $(c)\pi_F \ll_I \mu$ .

**Proof.** For any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Then for any  $f \in S(F)$

$$(C) \int_A f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(A \cap F_\alpha) d\alpha = 0$$

Hence  $(C)\pi_F(A) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.2** If  $\mu$  is null additive, then  $(C)\pi_F \ll_{II_a} \mu$ ;

**Proof.** For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $B \subset A$  and  $\mu(A-B) = 0$ . Let  $a_0 \in (C)\pi_F(A)$ , there exists  $f \in S(F)$  such that

$$a_0 = (C) \int_A f d\mu = e \int_0^\infty \mu(F_\alpha \cap A) d\alpha$$

From the monotonicity of  $\mu$ , we have  $\mu((A-B) \cap F_\alpha) = 0$ . Since  $\mu$  is null additive, hence

$$\mu(A \cap F_\alpha) = \mu[((A-B) \cap F_\alpha) \cup (B \cap F_\alpha)] = \mu(B \cap F_\alpha)$$

So

$$a_0 = \int_0^\infty \mu(F_\alpha \cap B) d\alpha = (C) \int_B f d\mu \in \pi_F(B)$$

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $F$  is Choquet integrable.  $(c)\pi_F \ll_{III_a} \mu$ ;

**Proof.** For any  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$ . since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$  for any  $f \in S(F)$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \mu(F_\alpha \cap A_n) d\alpha = \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_\alpha \cap A_n) d\alpha$$

hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C)\pi(F)(A_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C)\pi(F)(A_n) = \{0\}$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C)\pi_F(A_n) = \{0\}.$$

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $F$  is Choquet integrable.  $(C)\pi_F \ll_{IV} \mu$ .

**Proof.** Since  $F$  is Choquet integrable, so  $(C) \int f d\mu < \infty$  for any  $f \in S(F)$ , i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty \mu(F_\alpha) d\alpha < \infty$$

Hence for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $0 < a < b$ , such that

$$(C) \int_0^a \mu(F_\alpha) d\alpha + \int_b^\infty \mu(F_\alpha) d\alpha < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Let  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$  and  $\mu(A) < \delta$ , then for any  $f \in S(F)$

$$\begin{aligned} (C) \int f d\mu &= (C) \int_0^\infty \mu(F_\alpha \cap A) d\alpha \\ &= (C) \int_0^a \mu(F_\alpha \cap A) d\alpha + \int_b^\infty \mu(F_\alpha \cap A) d\alpha + \int_a^b \mu(F_\alpha \cap A) d\alpha \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \mu(F_\alpha \cap A)(b-a) \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\pi(A) \subset [0, \epsilon]$ .

## References

- [1] Wang Z., Klir G.J., Monotone set function defined by Choquet integral, *Fuzzy sets and system*, 81 (1996) 241-250.
- [2] Ouyang Y., Li J., Some properties of monotone set function defined by Choquet integral, *Journal of southeast university (English Edition)*, Vol.19 No. 4. Dec.(2003)423-426.
- [3] Murofushi T., Sugeno M., A theorem of fuzzy measures:representation, the Choquet integral and null sets, *J Math Anal Appl*. 159 (1991)532-549.
- [4] Jang L.C., Kwon J.S., On the representation of Choquet integral of set-valued functions, and null sets, *Fuzzy set and system*, 112 (2000) 233-239.
- [5] Zhang D., Wang Z. Set-valued Choquet integrals revisited, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 147(2004) 475-485.
- [6] Zhang D., Wang Z., On set-valued fuzzy integrals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 56 (1993)237-241.
- [7] Guo C., Zhang D. On set-valued fuzzy measures, *Information sciences*, 160 (2004) 13-25.