ELSEVIER

On Egoroff's theorems on finite monotone non-additive measure space[☆]

Jun Li[a],[*],[1], Masami Yasuda[b]

[a]*Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science, Communication University of China, Beijing 100024, People's Republic of China*
[b]*Department of Mathematics & Informatics, Faculty of Science, Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan*

Abstract

In this note, we give four versions of Egoroff's theorem in non-additive measure theory by using condition (E), the pseudo-condition (E) of set function and the duality relations between the conditions. These conditions offered are not only sufficient but also necessary for the four kinds of Egoroff's theorems.
© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Non-additive measure; Condition (E); Pseudo-condition (E); Egoroff's theorem

1. Introduction

Egoroff's theorem is one of the most important theorem in classical measure theory. It is stated that almost everywhere convergence implies almost uniform convergence on a finite measure space [1]. The researches on the theorem in non-additive measure theory were made by Wang and Klir [12], Li [2,3], Li and Yasuda [5–7], and Murofushi et al. [10]. These results faithfully contribute to non-additive measure theory. In [3] Li introduced the concept of *condition* (E) of set function and proved an essential result: a necessary and sufficient condition that Egoroff's theorem remains valid for monotone set function is that the monotone set function fulfils condition (E). In [10] Murofushi et al. defined the concept of *Egoroff*

condition and proved that it is a necessary and sufficient condition for Egoroff's theorem with respect to non-additive measures. Hence, the two concepts are equivalent to each other.

In this paper, Egoroff's theorems in the sense of pseudo-convergence in non-additive measure theory are discussed. We will introduce the concept of *pseudo-condition* (E) of a set function. Three pseudo-versions of Egoroff's theorem on finite monotone non-additive measure spaces by using the condition (E) and the pseudo-condition (E) of set function and the duality relations between the conditions are given. These conditions employed are not only sufficient but also necessary for the different kinds of Egoroff's theorem. In our discussion the set functions considered are only monotone without the assumption of continuity from above and below; therefore, the previous results we obtained in [2] are generalized and Egoroff's theorem on finite non-additive measure space is formulated and developed in full generality.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a non-empty set, and $\mathcal{F}$ a σ-algebra of subsets of X. Unless stated otherwise, all the subsets mentioned are supposed to belong to $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.1. A monotone non-additive measure on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{F})$ is an extended real-valued set function $\mu : \mathcal{F} \to [0, +\infty]$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
(2) $\mu(A) \leqslant \mu(B)$ whenever $A \subset B$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ (monotonicity).

When μ is a monotone non-additive measure, the triple $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is called a monotone measure space [11].

A monotone non-additive measure μ is called *finite*, if $\mu(X) < \infty$, *order-continuous* [11], if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ whenever $A_n \searrow \emptyset$, *strongly order-continuous* [4], if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ whenever $A_n \searrow A$ and $\mu(A) = 0$, *continuous at X*, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(X)$ whenever $A_n \nearrow X$, *strongly continuous at X*, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(H)$ whenever $A_n \nearrow H$ and $\mu(H) = \mu(X)$.

When μ is finite, we define the conjugate $\overline{\mu}$ of μ by

$$\overline{\mu}(A) = \mu(X) - \mu(X \setminus A), \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Obviously, the conjugate $\overline{\mu}$ of a monotone non-additive measure μ is also a monotone non-additive measure, and it holds that $\overline{\overline{\mu}} = \mu$.

From the duality principle of non-additive measure [8,9], we know that (1) the order-continuity is dual to the continuity at X; (2) the strong order-continuity is dual to the strong continuity at X.

Let $\mathbf{F}$ be the class of all finite real-valued measurable functions on $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, and let $f, f_n \in \mathbf{F}$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots$). We say that $\{f_n\}$ *converges almost everywhere to f on X*, and denote it by $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$, if there is subset $E \subset X$ such that $\mu(E) = 0$ and $f_n \to f$ on $X \setminus E$; $\{f_n\}$ *converges pseudo-almost everywhere to f on X*, and denote it by $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f$, if there is a subset $F \subset X$ such that $\mu(X \setminus F) = \mu(X)$ and $f_n \to f$ on $X \setminus F$; $\{f_n\}$ *converges almost uniformly to f on X*, and denote it by $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.u.}} f$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a subset $E_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu(X \setminus E_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and f_n converges to f uniformly on E_ε; $\{f_n\}$ *converges to f pseudo-almost uniformly on X*, and denote it by $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.u.}} f$, if there exists $\{F_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ with

$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu(X \setminus F_k) = \mu(X)$ such that f_n converges to f on $X \setminus F_k$ uniformly for any fixed $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ (cf. [12]).

From the definitions above, we know that the convergence a.e. (or a.u.) on X and the convergence p.a.e. (or p.a.u.) on X are dual to each other. We state them in the following.

Proposition 2.2. *Let μ be a finite monotone non-additive measure. Then*

(1) $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f[\mu]$ *iff* $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f[\overline{\mu}]$;

(2) $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.u.}} f[\mu]$ *iff* $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.u.}} f[\overline{\mu}]$.

3. Condition (E) of set function

In [3] we introduced the concept of condition (E) of set function and showed a version of Egoroff's theorem in non-additive measure theory. Now we propose a new structural—pseudo-condition (E); it plays an important role in establishing pseudo-versions of Egoroff's theorem on non-additive measure spaces.

Definition 3.1. A set function $\mu : \mathcal{F} \to [0, +\infty]$ is said to fulfil *condition (E)* (resp. *pseudo-condition (E)*), if for every double sequence $\{E_n^{(m)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}(m, n \in N)$ satisfying the conditions: for any fixed $m = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$E_n^{(m)} \searrow E^{(m)} \ (n \to \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} E^{(m)}\right) = 0$$

there exist increasing sequences $\{n_i\}_{i \in N}$ and $\{m_i\}_{i \in N}$ of natural numbers, such that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_i}^{(m_i)}\right) = 0 \qquad \left(\text{resp.} \lim_{k \to +\infty} \overline{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_i}^{(m_i)}\right) = 0\right).$$

It is easy to prove the following results (the first conclusion has been shown in [6,10]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let μ be a finite monotone non-additive measure. Then*

(1) *if μ fulfils condition (E), it is strongly order continuous.*

(2) *If $\overline{\mu}$ fulfils condition (E), μ is strongly continuous at X.*

(3) *If μ fulfils pseudo-condition (E), it is continuous at X and $\overline{\mu} \ll \mu$, i.e., for any $N \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mu(N) = 0$ implies $\overline{\mu}(N) = 0$.*

(4) *If $\overline{\mu}$ fulfils pseudo-condition (E), μ is order continuous and $\mu \ll \overline{\mu}$, i.e., for any $N \in \mathcal{F}$, $\overline{\mu}(N) = 0$ implies $\mu(N) = 0$.*

Condition (E) and pseudo-condition (E) are independent of each other.

Example 3.3. Let $X = [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{F}$ the class of all Lebesgue measurable sets on $[0, 1]$, and λ Lebesgue's measure. Put

$$\mu_1(E) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\lambda(E) & \text{if } \lambda(E) < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda(E) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then μ_1 is a monotone non-additive measure. It is easy to verify that μ_1 fulfils the condition (E). Since μ_1 is not continuous at X, by Proposition 3.2, we know that μ_1 does not fulfil pseudo-condition (E). In fact, if we take $E_n = [0, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] \cup \{1\}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, then $E_n \nearrow X$. But $\mu_1(E_n) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$; therefore $\mu_1(E_n) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \neq \mu_1(X)$.

Example 3.4. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \lambda)$ be the same Lebesgue measure space as Example 3.3, and μ_2 the monotone non-additive measure on $\mathcal{F}$ defined as

$$\mu_2(E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda(E) = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda(E) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then μ_2 is not strongly order continuous; therefore it follows from Proposition 3.2 that μ_2 does not fulfil condition (E). But it is easy to verify that μ_2 fulfils the pseudo-condition (E).

Note 3.5. In Examples 3.3 and 3.4 above, both μ_1 and μ_2 are null-additive.

4. Egoroff-type theorem

Now we present the main results—four versions of Egoroff's theorem in finite monotone non-additive measure spaces. The first conclusion in Theorem 4.1 below has been shown in [3].

Theorem 4.1 (*Egoroff's theorem*). *Let μ be a finite monotone non-additive measure. Then*,

(1) μ *fulfils condition* (E) *iff for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$*,

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f \Longrightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.u.}} f.$$

(2) $\bar{\mu}$ *fulfils condition* (E) *iff for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$*,

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f \Longrightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.u.}} f.$$

(3) μ *fulfils pseudo-condition* (E) *iff for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$*,

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f \Longrightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.u.}} f.$$

(4) $\bar{\mu}$ *fulfils pseudo-condition* (E) *iff for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$*,

$$f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.e.}} f \Longrightarrow f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.u.}} f.$$

Proof. In [3] we have proved (1). From (1) and Proposition 2.2, we can obtain (2). From (3) and Proposition 2.2, we can obtain (4). Now we only prove (3).

Necessity: Suppose μ fulfils pseudo-condition (E) and $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$. Let D be the set of these points x in X at which $\{f_n(x)\}$ does not converge to $f(x)$. If we denote

$$E_n^{(m)} = \bigcup_{j=n}^{+\infty} \left\{ x \in X : |f_j(x) - f(x)| \geqslant \frac{1}{m} \right\}$$

and $E^{(m)} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n^{(m)}$ for every $n, m = 1, 2, \ldots$, then we have

$$D = \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n^{(m)}.$$

Since $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$, $\mu(D) = 0$. Thus we obtain a double sequence $\{E_n^{(m)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}(m, n \in N)$ satisfying the conditions: for any fixed $m = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$$E_n^{(m)} \searrow E^{(m)}(n \to \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} E^{(m)} \right) = 0.$$

Applying the condition (E) of μ to the double sequence $\{E_n^{(m)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}(m, n \in N)$, there exist increasing sequences $\{n_i\}_{i \in N}$ and $\{m_i\}_{i \in N}$ of natural numbers, such that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \overline{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_i}^{(m_i)} \right) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu\left(X \setminus \bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_i}^{(m_i)} \right) = \mu(X).$$

Put $F_k = \bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_i}^{(m_i)}$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots)$; then $\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu(X \setminus F_k) = \mu(X)$. Now, we prove that f_n converges to f on $X \setminus F_k$ uniformly for any fixed $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.
Since

$$X \setminus F_k = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_i}^{(m_i)}$$

$$= \bigcap_{i=k}^{+\infty} \bigcap_{j=n_i}^{+\infty} \left\{ x \in X : |f_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{m_i} \right\},$$

for any $i \geqslant k$,

$$X \setminus F_k \subset \bigcap_{j=n_i}^{+\infty} \left\{ x \in X : |f_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{m_i} \right\}.$$

For any given $\sigma > 0$, we take $i_0 \ (\geqslant k)$ such that $\frac{1}{m_{i_o}} < \sigma$. Thus, as $j > n_{i_o}$, for any $x \in X \setminus F_k$,

$$|f_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{m_{i_o}} < \sigma.$$

This shows that $\{f_n\}$ converges to f on $X \setminus F_k$ uniformly.

Sufficiency: Suppose that for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$, $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} f$ implies $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.u.}} f$. Let $\{E_n^{(m)} \mid m, n \in N\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be any given double sequence of sets and satisfy the conditions: for any fixed $m = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$E_n^{(m)} \searrow E^{(m)} \ (n \to \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} E^{(m)}\right) = 0.$$

We put

$$\hat{E}_n^{(m)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} E_n^{(i)} = E_n^{(1)} \cup E_n^{(2)} \cup \cdots \cup E_n^{(m)} \quad (m, n \in N)$$

and

$$\hat{E}^{(m)} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \hat{E}_n^{(m)} \quad (m = 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Then we obtain a double sequence $\{\hat{E}_n^{(m)}\} \subset \mathcal{F}(m, n \in N)$ satisfying the properties: for any fixed $n \in N$, $\hat{E}_n^{(m)} \subset \hat{E}_n^{(m+1)}$, and for any fixed $m \in N$, $\hat{E}_n^{(m)} \searrow \hat{E}^{(m)}$ as $n \to \infty$, and from $\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} \hat{E}^{(m)} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} E^{(m)}$, it follows that $\mu(\bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} \hat{E}^{(m)}) = 0$.

Now we construct a sequence $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$: for every $n \in N$ we define

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{m+1}, & x \in \hat{E}_n^{(m+1)} - \hat{E}_n^{(m)} \ m = 1, 2, \ldots, \\ 1, & x \in \hat{E}_n^{(1)}, \\ 0, & x \in X - \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} \hat{E}_n^{(m)}. \end{cases}$$

It is similar to the proof of Theorem in [3], we can obtain $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.e.}} 0$ on X. Therefore, from the hypothesis, we have $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.a.u.}} 0$ on X. Thus, there exists a sequence $\{F_j\}_{j \in N}$ such that $\lim_{j \to +\infty} \mu(X \setminus F_j) = \mu(X)$ and f_n converges to 0 on $X \setminus F_j$ uniformly for any fixed $j = 1, 2, \ldots$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots$ (otherwise, we can take $\bigcap_{i=1}^{j} F_i$ instead of F_j). Thus for every $j \in N$, there exists $n_j \in N$ such that for any $x \in X \setminus F_j$, we have $|f_i(x)| < \frac{1}{j}$ whenever $i \geqslant n_j$. Therefore, for every $j \in N$, we have

$$X \setminus F_j \subset \bigcap_{i=n_j}^{+\infty} \left\{ x \mid |f_i(x)| < \frac{1}{j} \right\} = X \setminus \hat{E}_{n_j}^{(j)}.$$

Noting that $X \setminus F_1 \subset X \setminus F_2 \subset \cdots$, for every $k \geqslant 1$,

$$X \setminus F_k = \bigcap_{j=k}^{+\infty} (X \setminus F_j) \subset \bigcap_{j=k}^{+\infty} (X \setminus \hat{E}_{n_j}^{(j)}) = X \setminus \bigcup_{j=k}^{+\infty} \hat{E}_{n_j}^{(j)}$$

and hence

$$\mu(X \setminus F_k) = \mu \left(\bigcap_{j=k}^{+\infty} (X \setminus F_j) \right) \leqslant \mu \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{j=k}^{+\infty} \hat{E}_{n_j}^{(j)} \right).$$

It follows from $\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu(X \setminus F_k) = \mu(X)$ that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{j=k}^{+\infty} \hat{E}_{n_j}^{(j)} \right) = \mu(X).$$

Noting that for $m, n \in N$, $E_n^m \subset \hat{E}_n^m$; thus we have chosen a subsequence $\{E_{n_j}^{(j)}\}_{j \in N}$ of the double sequence $\{E_n^{(m)}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{j=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_j}^{(j)} \right) = \mu(X),$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \bar{\mu} \left(\bigcup_{i=k}^{+\infty} E_{n_i}^{(m_i)} \right) = 0.$$

This shows that $\bar{\mu}$ fulfils condition (E). $\quad\square$

In the following we present necessary conditions of Egoroff's theorem. The first conclusion below has been proved in [10], the rest can be obtained by combining Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 3.2.

Corollary 4.2. *Let μ be a finite monotone non-additive measure. Then,*

(1) *if for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$, $f_n \overset{\text{a.e.}}{\longrightarrow} f$ implies $f_n \overset{\text{a.u.}}{\longrightarrow} f$, μ is strongly order continuous.*
(2) *If for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$, $f_n \overset{\text{p.a.e.}}{\longrightarrow} f$ implies $f_n \overset{\text{p.a.u.}}{\longrightarrow} f$, μ is strongly continuous at X.*
(3) *If for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$, $f_n \overset{\text{a.e.}}{\longrightarrow} f$ implies $f_n \overset{\text{p.a.u.}}{\longrightarrow} f$, μ is continuous at X and $\bar{\mu} \ll \mu$.*
(4) *If for any $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\{f_n\}_n \subset \mathbf{F}$, $f_n \overset{\text{p.a.e.}}{\longrightarrow} f$ implies $f_n \overset{\text{a.u.}}{\longrightarrow} f$, μ is order-continuous and $\mu \ll \bar{\mu}$.*

Remark 4.3. In [6] we proved Egoroff's theorem on monotone non-additive measure space under the conditions of strong order continuity and property (S) (Note: Murofushi et al. [10] also obtained the same result). Therefore, it follows from Theorem 4.1 (or [10, Proposition 2]) that strong order continuity and property (S) of monotone non-additive measure imply condition (E). By Example 5 in [10] and the

equivalence between the condition (E) and the Egoroff condition, we know that strong order continuity and property (S) are really stronger than condition (E). Therefore, Theorem 4.1(1) improves the previous result we obtained in [6].

Acknowledgements

References

[1] P.R. Halmos, Measure Theory, Van Nostrand, New York, 1968.
[2] J. Li, On Egoroff's theorems on fuzzy measure space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 135 (2003) 367–375.
[3] J. Li, A further investigation for Egoroff's theorem with respect to monotone set functions, Kybernetika 39 (2003) 753–760.
[4] J. Li, Order continuous of monotone set function and convergence of measurable functions sequence, Appl. Math. Comput. 135 (2003) 211–218.
[5] J. Li, Y. Ouyang, M. Yasuda, Pseudo-convergence of measurable functions on Sugeno fuzzy measure spaces, in: Proc. 7th Joint Conf. on Information Science, North Corolina, USA, 2003, pp. 56–59.
[6] J. Li, M. Yasuda, Egoroff's theorems on monotone non-additive measure space, Int. J. Uncertainty, Fuzziness Knowledge-Based Systems 12 (2004) 61–68.
[7] J. Li, M. Yasuda, Q. Jiang, H. Suzuki, Z. Wang, G.J. Klir, Convergence of sequence of measurable functions on fuzzy measure space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 87 (1997) 317–323.
[8] X. Liu, Study on the daul problems in the theory on fuzzy measure and fuzzy integral, J. Fuzzy Math. 2 (1994) 555–573.
[9] T. Murofushi, Duality and ordinality in fuzzy measure theory, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 138 (2003) 523–535.
[10] T. Murofushi, K. Uchino, S. Asahina, Conditions for Egoroff's theorem in non-additive measure theory, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 146 (2004) 135–146.
[11] E. Pap, Null-additive Set Functions, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.
[12] Z. Wang, G.J. Klir, Fuzzy Measure Theory, Plenum, New York, 1992.