

Geometric function theory and Smale's mean value conjecture

By Ege FUJIKAWA^{*)} and Toshiyuki SUGAWA^{**)}

Abstract: We improve an estimate of the constant in Smale's mean value conjecture, by using the Bieberbach theorem for coefficients of univalent functions and an estimate of the hyperbolic density on a certain simply connected domain.

Key words: polynomial; critical point; univalent function; Poincaré density.

1. Introduction and result Let $P(z)$ be a complex polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$, and let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{d-1} be the critical points of $P(z)$. Smale [11] stated that, if z is not a critical point of P , then the following inequality holds:

$$(1) \quad \min_i \left| \frac{P(z) - P(z_i)}{z - z_i} \right| \leq 4|P'(z)|.$$

Furthermore, he also formulated the following conjecture, which is known as Smale's mean value conjecture. See also [10] and [12].

Conjecture 1. *Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{d-1} be the critical points of $P(z)$. If z is not a critical point of P , then*

$$(2) \quad \min_i \left| \frac{P(z) - P(z_i)}{(z - z_i)P'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{d-1}{d}.$$

A weaker version of Smale's conjecture is the inequality with constant 1 instead of $(d-1)/d$ in (2). Let $S(P, z)$ be the left-hand side of inequality (2), and denote by $K(d)$, $d \geq 2$, the smallest constant such that $S(P, z) \leq K(d)$ holds for all polynomials P of degree d and for all $z \neq z_i$. Inequality (1) shows that $K(d) \leq 4$ and in view of the example $P(z) = z^d - z$, one has the inequality $K(d) \geq (d-1)/d$. Smale's mean value conjecture thus says that $K(d) \leq (d-1)/d$. This conjecture has been proved only for degrees $d = 2, 3, 4$ (see [9]) and $d = 5$ (see [4]). For $d \geq 6$, it has been proved only under some additional conditions. See [7], [13] and [14]. In a general case, Beardon, Minda and Ng [1] proved that

$$K(d) \leq 4 \frac{d-2}{d-1} =: K_1(d)$$

and Conte, Fujikawa and Lalic [2] verified that

$$K(d) \leq 4 \frac{d-1}{d+1} =: K_2(d) \quad (< K_1(d)).$$

Furthermore, Schmeisser [8] showed that

$$K(d) \leq \frac{2^d - (d+1)}{d(d-1)} =: K_3(d).$$

In this paper, we improve these estimates.

Theorem 1. *Let P be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with critical points z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{d-1} . If z is not a critical point of P , then*

$$\min_i \left| \frac{P(z) - P(z_i)}{(z - z_i)P'(z)} \right| \leq 4 \cdot \frac{1 + (d-2)4^{\frac{1}{1-d}}}{d+1} =: K_0(d).$$

Remark. For $d \geq 7$, our constant $K_0(d)$ is better than the other ones. More precisely,

- (i) $K_0(d) < K_2(d) < K_3(d)$ for $d \geq 8$;
- (ii) $K_0(7) = 2.48425\dots < K_3(7) < K_2(7)$;
- (iii) $K_3(d) < K_0(d) < K_2(d)$ for $d \leq 6$.

In particular, $K_3(6) = 1.9$. Note also that these results are superfluous when $d \leq 5$ since Smale's conjecture was already proved.

For all linear transformations α and β , we have $S(\beta \circ P \circ \alpha, \alpha^{-1}(z)) = S(P, z)$. Thus we have only to consider for $z = 0$ and for polynomials P satisfying $P(0) = 0$, $P'(0) = 1$ (see [1]). Namely, Smale's mean value conjecture is equivalent to the following.

Conjecture 2. *Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with $P(0) = 0$ and $P'(0) = 1$, and let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{d-1} be the critical points of $P(z)$. Then*

$$\min_i \left| \frac{P(z_i)}{z_i} \right| \leq \frac{d-1}{d}.$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C10; Secondary 30C20, 30C50.

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, Sophia University, 7-1 Kioi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 102-8554 Japan

^{**)} Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526 Japan

Conjecture 2 is called the normalized conjecture, and this has been proved for polynomials satisfying certain conditions. For example, either if all the critical points of P are real or if all the zeros of P but the origin have the same modulus, then the normalized conjecture is true. Furthermore, Ng [6] showed that $S(P, 0) \leq 2$ for odd polynomials P . For a general case, we have the following, which is equivalent to Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with $P(0) = 0$ and $P'(0) = 1$, and z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{d-1} the critical points of $P(z)$. Then*

$$\min_i \left| \frac{P(z_i)}{z_i} \right| \leq K_0(d).$$

2. Proof of Theorem We have only to prove Theorem 2. We denote by $\rho_\Omega(z)|dz|$ the hyperbolic metric of a hyperbolic domain with curvature -4 . The quantity $\rho_\Omega(z)$ is called the hyperbolic density of Ω at $z \in \Omega$. For instance, the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ has the hyperbolic density

$$\rho_{\mathbb{D}}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Lemma 1 ([1]). *For every domain Ω of the form $\mathbb{C} - (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_n)$ where R_i are rays of the form $\{re^{i\theta_i} \mid r \geq 1\}$, the hyperbolic density $\rho_\Omega(z)$ of Ω satisfies the inequality*

$$\rho_\Omega(0) \leq 4^{-\frac{1}{n}}.$$

We will prove our theorem by using this lemma and the Bieberbach theorem for univalent functions on \mathbb{D} (see [5]). The proof is based on the argument in [2].

Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume that $\min_i |z_i| = |z_1|$ with $z_1 > 0$ and $\min_i |P(z_i)| = 1$ by compositions of linear transformations, see [2]. Then

$$\min_i \left| \frac{P(z_i)}{z_i} \right| \leq \left| \frac{P(z_j)}{z_j} \right| = \frac{1}{|z_j|} \leq \frac{1}{z_1},$$

where j is the integer satisfying

$$|P(z_j)| = \min_i |P(z_i)| = 1.$$

Thus we will prove that

$$\frac{1}{z_1} \leq K_0(d).$$

Since z_1, \dots, z_{d-1} are the critical points of P and $P'(0) = 1$, we have

$$P'(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_{d-1}}\right).$$

Then, since $P(0) = 0$, this gives

$$P(z) = z - \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{z_i}\right) z^2 + \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i \neq j}^{d-1} \frac{1}{z_i z_j}\right) z^3 - \cdots + \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{d \cdot z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{d-1}} z^d.$$

Let R_i be the ray of the form $\{re^{i\theta_i} \mid r \geq 1\}$ that passes through $P(z_i)$. By Lemma 1, the hyperbolic density $\rho_\Omega(z)$ of $\Omega = \mathbb{C} - (R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_{d-1})$ satisfies

$$\rho_\Omega(0) \leq 4^{-\frac{1}{d-1}}.$$

Since Ω does not contain any critical value of P , one can take a (single-valued) branch f of the inverse function P^{-1} on Ω so that $f(0) = 0$. In this way, we obtain a univalent function

$$f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} - \{z_1, \dots, z_{d-1}\}$$

such that $f(0) = 0$ and $P(f(w)) = w$ for all $w \in \Omega$. Then f has the form

$$f(w) = w + a_2 w^2 + a_3 w^3 + \cdots.$$

Since f omits the value z_1 in Ω , the function

$$f_1(w) = \frac{f(w)}{1 - f(w)/z_1} = w + \left(a_2 + \frac{1}{z_1}\right) w^2 + \cdots$$

is analytic in Ω . By applying the Bieberbach theorem [5, Theorem 2.2] to the univalent function f_1 on $\mathbb{D} (\subset \Omega)$, we have

$$(3) \quad \left| a_2 + \frac{1}{z_1} \right| \leq 2.$$

Since $P(f(w)) = w$, we obtain

$$-P''(0) = f''(0) = 2a_2.$$

Thus

$$a_2 = -\frac{P''(0)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{z_i}.$$

Therefore inequality (3) yields that

$$\left| \frac{3}{z_1} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \frac{1}{z_i} \right| \leq 4.$$

Since we assumed that z_1 is real, we have

$$(4) \quad \frac{3}{z_1} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z_i} \leq 4.$$

Let $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ be a conformal homeomorphism satisfying $\phi(0) = 0$, which has the form

$$\phi(\zeta) = c_1\zeta + c_2\zeta^2 + \cdots.$$

Since the hyperbolic density ρ_Ω on Ω satisfies

$$\rho_\Omega(\phi(\zeta))|\phi'(\zeta)| = \rho_{\mathbb{D}}(\zeta),$$

we have $\rho_\Omega(0)|c_1| = \rho_{\mathbb{D}}(0) = 1$. Thus

$$|c_1| = \frac{1}{\rho_\Omega(0)} \geq 4^{\frac{1}{d-1}}.$$

Consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} g(\zeta) &= (f \circ \phi)(\zeta) \\ &= c_1\zeta + (c_2 + c_1^2 a_2)\zeta^2 + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

which maps \mathbb{D} conformally into $\mathbb{C} - \{z_1, \dots, z_{d-1}\}$. Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, set

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(\zeta) &= \frac{g(\zeta)}{1 - g(\zeta)/z_i} \\ &= c_1\zeta + \left(c_2 + c_1^2 \left(a_2 + \frac{1}{z_i} \right) \right) \zeta^2 + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

and $h_i(\zeta) := g_i(\zeta)/c_1$. Then $h_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a univalent function satisfying $h_i(0) = 0$ and $h_i'(0) = 1$. By applying the Bieberbach theorem to $h_i(\zeta)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{c_2}{c_1} + c_1 \left(a_2 + \frac{1}{z_i} \right) \right| \leq 2,$$

namely,

$$\left| \frac{c_2}{c_1^2} + a_2 + \frac{1}{z_i} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|c_1|}.$$

In particular,

$$\left| \frac{c_2}{c_1^2} + a_2 + \frac{1}{z_1} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|c_1|}.$$

By the triangle inequality, we see that

$$\left| \frac{1}{z_i} - \frac{1}{z_1} \right| \leq \frac{4}{|c_1|} \leq 4 \cdot 4^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} = 4^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}}.$$

Since we assumed that z_1 is real, we have

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{z_1} - 4^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}} \leq \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{z_i}.$$

Therefore, inequalities (4) and (5) yield that

$$\frac{3}{z_1} + (d-2) \left(\frac{1}{z_1} - 4^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}} \right) \leq 4.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{z_1} \leq 4 \cdot \frac{1 + (d-2)4^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}{d+1}$$

and we have proved our theorem. \square

3. Concluding remark The present framework can be used to show the existence of an extremal polynomial for the constant $K(d)$. Note that the existence of such a polynomial is not trivial. We end the article by showing the following proposition. Note that Crane [3, §5] gives essentially the same conclusion and our proof is similar to his argument.

Proposition 1. *Let d be an integer with $d \geq 2$. There exists a complex polynomial $P(z)$ of degree at most d such that $S(P, 0) = K(d)$.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_0(d)$ the set of complex polynomials $P(z)$ of degree d satisfying $P(0) = 0$, $P'(0) = 1$ and $\min_i |P(z_i)| = 1$, where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{d-1} are the critical points of $P(z)$. Recall then that $S(P, 0) = \min_i |P(z_i)/z_i|$. Set

$$\mathcal{P}(d) = \mathcal{P}_0(2) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}_0(d)$$

for $d \geq 2$. Our goal is to find a $P \in \mathcal{P}(d)$ such that $S(P, 0) = K(d)$.

First note that $K(d-1) \leq K(d)$ for $d \geq 3$. Indeed, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_0(d-1)$ define $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_0(d)$ so that $P_n'(z) = P'(z)(1-z/n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $S(P_n, 0) \rightarrow S(P, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $K(d-1) \leq K(d)$.

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}_0(d)$, we take a univalent function f on $\Omega = \mathbb{C} - (R_1 \cup \cdots \cup R_{d-1})$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $P \circ f = \operatorname{id}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.

As we have seen in the last section, we have

$$K(d) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0(d)} S(P, 0).$$

Therefore, there is a sequence P_n in $\mathcal{P}_0(d)$ such that $S(P_n, 0) \rightarrow K(d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let f_n be the univalent function on Ω_n constructed above for $f = f_n$. The restriction of f_n to \mathbb{D} is a member of the well-known family S of normalized univalent functions on the unit disk (cf. [5]). Since S is normal, we may assume that f_n converges to a function $f_\infty \in S$ uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} .

By the Koebe one-quarter theorem, $f(\mathbb{D})$ contains the disk $\Delta = \{|z| < 1/4\}$ for each $f \in S$. Thus we can define the inverse function f^{-1} of f on Δ . It is easy to see that $f_n^{-1} = P_n$ converges to f_∞^{-1}

uniformly on every compact subset of Δ . If we write

$$P_n(z) = a_{n,0} + a_{n,1}z + \cdots + a_{n,d}z^d$$

and

$$f_\infty^{-1}(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \cdots$$

around $z = 0$, the Cauchy integral formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1/8} \frac{f_\infty^{-1}(z)dz}{z^{k+1}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=1/8} \frac{P_n(z)dz}{z^{k+1}} \\ &= \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} & (0 \leq k \leq d) \\ 0 & (d < k). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f_∞^{-1} is the restriction of a polynomial Q of degree $\leq d$ to Δ and P_n converges to Q uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{C} .

The degree of the limit polynomial Q is at least 2. Indeed, we take a critical point ζ_n of $P_n \in \mathcal{P}(d)$ so that $|P_n(\zeta_n)| = 1$. Since $K(d) \geq 1 - 1/d \geq 1/2$, we may assume that $S(P_n, 0) \geq 1/3$ for sufficiently large n . Since $S(P_n, 0) \leq |P_n(\zeta_n)/\zeta_n| = 1/|\zeta_n|$, we have $|\zeta_n| \leq 3$. Then we can take a subsequence so that ζ_n converges to a point ζ , which satisfies $Q'(\zeta) = 0$. In particular, $\deg Q \geq 2$.

Next we will prove that $S(Q, 0) = K(d)$. Let $\eta \neq 0$ be a critical point of Q such that $S(Q, 0) = |Q(\eta)/\eta|$. By the Hurwitz theorem, we can take a critical point η_n of P_n so that $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$, and hence,

$$|P_n(\eta_n)/\eta_n| \rightarrow |Q(\eta)/\eta| = S(Q, 0).$$

Since

$$S(P_n, 0) \leq |P_n(\eta_n)/\eta_n|$$

and

$$S(P_n, 0) \rightarrow K(d),$$

we have $S(Q, 0) \geq K(d)$. On the other hand,

$$S(Q, 0) \leq K(\deg Q) \leq K(d),$$

and thus, $S(Q, 0) = K(d)$. \square

In the above proof, it seems difficult to exclude the possibility that $Q \in \mathcal{P}(d-1)$. However, if we knew that $K(d-1) < K(d)$, then we could conclude that $Q \in \mathcal{P}(d)$. Note that Crane [3] pointed out that

the assertion $K(d-1) < K(d)$ would lead to several conclusions concerning extremal polynomials.

Acknowledgements. The authors express their gratitude to Professor Nikola Lakic for encouragement. They also thank Professor Edward Crane for his valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] A. F. Beardon, D. Minda and T. W. Ng, *Smale's mean value conjecture and the hyperbolic metric*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), 623–632.
- [2] A. Conte, E. Fujikawa and N. Lakic, *Smale's mean value conjecture and the coefficients of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [3] E. Crane, *Extremal polynomials in Smale's mean value conjecture*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **6** (2006), 145–163.
- [4] E. Crane, *A computational proof of the degree 5 case of Smale's mean value conjecture*, preprint.
- [5] P. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [6] T. W. Ng, *Smale's mean value conjecture for odd polynomials*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **75** (2003), 409–411.
- [7] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic theory of polynomials*, London Math. Soc. Monographs. New Series, **26**, Oxford, 2002.
- [8] G. Schmeisser, *The Conjecture of Sendov and Smale*, Approximation Theory (B. Bojanov, Ed), DARBA, Sofia, 2002, 353–369.
- [9] T. Sheil-Small, *Complex polynomials*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **75**, Cambridge, 2002.
- [10] M. Shub and S. Smale, *Computational complexity: on the geometry of polynomials and the theory of cost. II*, SIAM J. Comput. **15** (1986), 145–161.
- [11] S. Smale, *The fundamental theorem of algebra and complexity theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.) **4** (1981), 1–36.
- [12] S. Smale, *Mathematical problem for the next century*, *Mathematics: Frontiers and Perspectives*, eds. V. Arnold, M. Atiyah, P. Lax and B. Mazur, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000.
- [13] D. Tischler, *Critical points and values of complex polynomials*, J. of Complexity **5** (1989), 438–456.
- [14] D. Tischler, *Perturbations of critical fixed points of analytic maps*, Complex analytic methods in dynamical systems (Rio de Janeiro, 1992) Astérisque **222** (1994), 407–422.